

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

RORY A. WATSON,

Plaintiff,

VS.

LLOYD J. AUSTIN, III, SECRETARY,
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
DEFENSE, DEFENSE COMMISSARY
AGENCY,

Defendant.

§ § § § § § § § § §

CIVIL ACTION NO. SA-24-CV-027-FB

ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE


The Court has considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge filed in the above-captioned cause on May 6, 2024 (docket #9), concerning Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment (docket ##8). The electronic case management system reflects that Plaintiff received the Report and Recommendation on or before May 13, 2024 (docket #13), and to date, no objections to the Report and Recommendation have been received.

Because no party has objected to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the Court need not conduct a de novo review. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) ("A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings and recommendations to which objection is made."). The Court has reviewed the Report and finds its reasoning to be neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918 (1989). The Recommendation shall therefore be accepted pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) such that Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment (docket #8) shall be DENIED.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge filed in this cause on May 6, 2024 (docket #9), is ACCEPTED pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) such that Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment (docket #8) is DENIED.

It is so ORDERED.

SIGNED this 7th day of May, 2024.



FRED BIERY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE